Water Pricing for a Dry Future: Policy Ideas and their Relevance to California

University of California Giannini Foundation Public Policy Institute MWDSC

Sustainable Water Rate Design at Western Municipal Water District:

The "Art" of Revenue Recovery, Water Use Efficiency and Customer Equity

Tom Ash Inland Empire Utilities Agency (IEUA) Senior Environmental Planner Horticulturist, Rate Advisor



Inland Empire Utilities Agency

- Wholesaler
- 850,000 population,
 239 square miles
- MWD member agency
- 30% imported water, 70% groundwater/recycled
- Over \$500 million to develop local supplies in last 15 years



• Expanding development of a large groundwater basin

What to Accomplish Today?

- Define terms
- Dispel myths
- Find the right questions
- Answer "why" change rates
- Share results
- Provide a video link that sums-up water budget rates from agencies (Elected officials, GM's and CFO's)
- Conclusions/Questions





"Doing the same thing over and over again and expecting a different outcome, is the definition of insanity. <u>Think differently."</u> Albert Einstein

Definitions

Equal: Identical, even, uniform, be equal with **Equitable:** Reasonable, impartial, justifiable, fair **Proportional:** Relative, comparative, reasonable, fair, impartial, balanced **Sustainable:** Justifiable, workable, defensible, maintainable...

"Customers Vent Confusion over Water Rate Increase" Missouri Journal

"City Council votes to raise rates178% over 10 years because people are using less water." Nov. 2015 Oceanside, Ca.

82% of Californian's say residents should conserve water, drought or no drought." March 2015, LA Times

"Water Agencies Margins Dip"

Fitch Ratings, 2015 Water Utilities Summary

"Utilities with high volumetric rate structures are most at financial risk."

Fitch Ratings, 2015 Water Utilities Summary

Londoner's feeling "Water Boarded" by Rate Hikes.

December, 2015, London Daily Mail



"It's helpful to measure everything." Graham Dooley, Pres. Australian Water Association

"Australia united behind conservation half-way into a 15 year drought. It's like looking what the future could be for California." May, 2015 Scientific American



Myth:

Legend, fable, folk lore, fiction, accepted as true, a story without author...

- 1. "It costs too much"
- 2. "It takes too much data"
- 3. "It's too hard"
- 4. High income users benefit
- 5. "One size does not fit all"
- 6. "It's too complicated and customer won't get it"



- 1. It costs less to change than to continue doing the same thing over and over again
- 2. Technology assists change
- 3. It does take work...
- 4. UC studies show the opposite
- 5. An individualized budgetbased rate structure is by definition "proportional, fair, impartial" and is unique to the agency and their widerange of customers
- 6. Customers "get it"

Myth: "Too Complicated for Customers"



"Water is free, why do we have to pay for it at all?"

\$2 / day / household

\$.003 / per gallon

What's the Difference?

- Customer Equity/Proportionality
- Build an "efficiency ethic" (education)
- Revenue Stability with demand reductions



Fixed Tiers



Individualized Tiers





Fixed & Variable Cost Recovery



Success = Matching Rate Design & the Agency Business

Why Change?

Stop losing money when less water is used/sold!
 Create a water efficiency "ethic"!
 Be fair and equitable to customers!
 And...get us re-elected!

Irvine Ranch WD 1991 Western Municipal WD 2007/2011 Palmdale WD 2008 Coachella Valley WD 2008 Eastern Municipal WD 2009 City of Corona 2009 Rancho California WD 2010 Elsinore Valley WD 2010 El Toro WD 2011 Monte Vista WD 2011 Monte Vista WD 2011 East Valley WD 2015 Las Virgenes WD 2016 City of Redlands City of Riverside City of Hemet Santa Margarita WD City of Tustin City of Rialto City of Garden Grove City of Chino Hills City of Chino Hills City of Alley WD West Valley WD



How?

Process:

- 1. Internal questions
- 2. Board direction to staff
- 3. Staff engagement
- 4. Cost of service/rate study
- 5. Development of landscape square footage data
- 6. Development of local ET
- 7. Number of Residents
- 8. Development of Customer Allocations
- 9. Billing system review/upgrade
- **10. Policy review**
- **11. Board review**
- 12. Conduct outreach
- 13. Test the "system"
- 14. Public Hearing/Prop 218







Customer Allocations

State Efficiency Standards, Science and Customer Reality



Data



Data







SBX7 (20 X 2020)



AB 1881 (MWELO)

How

Ask the tough questions

- What are the agencies fixed costs (%)?
- What % do we recover on fixed charges?
- What % of fixed costs are imbedded in tiers?
- Do we lose money (fixed revenues) when less water is sold?
- Are end-users happy with our rate structure?
- Do we know who is efficient and who is inefficient with water?
- How do we pay for conservation?
- Get past "Why"
- Use existing State water use efficiency legislation
- Use technology for customer level data collection
- Establish a timeline / tasks...
- Take the accurate story of your business and those costs to provide our most vital resource to constituents



Results: Increased and Long-term Efficiency



Results: Unforeseen Benefit

Flows in San Diego Creek at Culver



Results

- "Customers reduced use 15%, without a negative economic impact to the agency, and we have funding for conservation programs paid for by water wasters." EMWD CFO
- "We recover 80% of our fixed costs on the fixed service charge. Our revenues are right where we estimated even with significant water savings." RCWD Customer Service Mgr. (Now GM)





- (Western Municipal) "Healthy financial performance has continued strong in the face of a sharp decrease in water demand, economic stress and rising imported water costs. Margins remain healthy even with State mandates." Fitch Ratings
- "People now pay attention to leaks and water waste." Palmdale WD
- "92% of customers meet allocations. There are no negatives IF you take the time and educate the customers. We received 97% positive Prop 218 votes." MNWD
- "This is the most important project I have done as GM in my time at the agency." LVMWD GM

Resolve

• Understand the business model that is needed to:

- Reward Efficient users
- Penalize Over-users
- Allocate water based on customer needs
 that match State efficiency legislation
- Allocate water and costs "Proportionally"
- Stabilize Revenue
- Generate a funding source for Conservation
- Improve Water Quality
- Direct high water rates at the right consumers
- Education consumers to what an agency does and what it costs
- Give Elected officials an impartial, defensible position built upon existing State legislation
- With "Data" and "Thinking Different" build the agency tool that meets agency objectives, and is flexible to changes with climate, legislation, customer needs...

/	_		
(6 10	hy	
	H	w))
/		sults	
	(What	Happens)	

Conclusions:

http://www.sawpa.org/owow/pa-22drought-project/conservation-based-rates/









"Doing the same thing over and over again and expecting a different outcome, is the definition of insanity. <u>Think differently."</u>



"A *Think Different* attitude enables our company to do things others could not even consider."



"Boys we need to <u>*Think Different.*</u>" Billy Beane, Oakland A's (Moneyball)

The "ART" of Revenue Recovery, Water Use Efficiency and Customer Equity

